

Martingale problems for some singular SPDEs

Nicolas Perkowski

Freie Universität Berlin

May 31st, 2021
SPDEs & Friends

With Lukas Gräfner, Massimiliano Gubinelli

What are we doing?

- Formulate **singular martingale problems** for singular SPDEs, construct **generator**.
- Combine **rough path** ideas with **martingale** methods, **Gaussian** analysis, **infinite-dimensional PDEs**, **functional analysis**.
- Useful for **scaling limits**, **ergodicity**, **functional inequalities**, **moments**, **fluctuations**, ...

Main example: conservative stochastic Burgers equation

Kardar-Parisi-Zhang '86: “universal” model for interface growth is $h = \partial_x^{-1} u$

Stochastic Burgers equation $u: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or $u: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$),

$$\partial_t u = \partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi,$$

ξ : white noise (centered Gaussian, $\mathbb{E}[\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y)] = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y)$).

- Problem is **singularity**:
law of white noise is invariant, $u^2 = ?$

Main example: conservative stochastic Burgers equation

Kardar-Parisi-Zhang '86: “universal” model for interface growth is $h = \partial_x^{-1} u$

Stochastic Burgers equation $u: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or $u: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$),

$$\partial_t u = \partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi,$$

ξ : white noise (centered Gaussian, $\mathbb{E}[\xi(t, x)\xi(s, y)] = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y)$).

- Problem is **singularity**:
law of white noise is invariant, $u^2 = ?$
- Hairer '13/Kupiainen-Marcozzi '17/Gubinelli-P. '17: solve w. **pathwise arguments**
- E.g. idea in **regularity structures**: freeze ω , write

$$u(y) = u(x) + \sum_{\tau} u^{\tau}(x) \Pi_x \tau(y) + O(|x - y|^{\gamma})$$

for “trees” τ (generalized monomials).

$\Rightarrow u$ is “smooth”.

Local subcriticality

Regularity structures work for **locally subcritical** equations as in Hairer '14.

The SPDE

$$\partial_t u = Au + f(u, \nabla u) + \xi$$

is **locally subcritical** if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta, \gamma > 0$ s.t.

$$u_\lambda(t, x) := \lambda^\alpha u(\lambda^\beta t, \lambda x)$$

solves

$$\partial_t u_\lambda = Au_\lambda + \lambda^\gamma f(u_\lambda, \nabla u_\lambda) + \xi_\lambda$$

with $\text{law}(\xi_\lambda) = \text{law}(\xi)$.

$\gamma = 0$: critical

$\gamma < 0$: supercritical

Analogy with SDEs

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s$$

Three types of solutions:

- 1 Strong Itô solution:

Fix W , find (\mathcal{F}_t^W) -adapted X , interpret $\int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s$ as Itô integral.

- 2 Weak Itô solution:

Solution is couple (X, W) , maybe $X_t \notin \mathcal{F}_t^W$.

Equivalent to *martingale problem*.

- 3 Rough path solution: freeze ω , rough path integral $\int_0^t \sigma(X_s(\omega)) dW_s(\omega)$

$$X_t(\omega) = x + \int_0^t b(X_s(\omega)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s(\omega)) dW_s(\omega)$$

Each has **different strengths and weaknesses**.

For singular SPDEs: **mainly pathwise approach (3)**. What about (1), (2)?

The martingale problem

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s$$

- Itô's formula:

$$\varphi(X_t) - \varphi(x) = \int_0^t \underbrace{\left(b \partial_x \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \partial_{xx} \varphi \right)}_{=\mathcal{L}\varphi}(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \underbrace{(\partial_x \varphi \sigma)(X_s)}_{=M_t} dW_s$$

- Martingale problem:

$$\varphi(X_t) - \varphi(x) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}\varphi(X_s) ds = \text{martingale}$$

- Describe evolution of $\text{law}(X_t)$ via differential operator \mathcal{L} .
- Useful for: ergodic theory, scaling limits, fluctuations, stability analysis, ...

1 Motivation

2 Martingale problem for the stochastic Burgers equation

Formal generator

Burgers eq. $\partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi$

- Test function $\varphi(u) = u(f)$ \Rightarrow formally:

$$d\varphi(u_t) = [u_t(\partial_{xx} f) - u_t^2(\partial_x f)]dt + dM_t(f)$$

so

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(u) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(u_t) | u_0 = u] |_{t=0} = u(\partial_{xx} f) - u^2(\partial_x f)$$

- But state space is $H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$
 $\Rightarrow u^2$ and thus $\mathcal{L}F(u)$ ill-defined for general $u \in H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$.

Formal generator

$$\text{Burgers eq. } \partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi$$

- Test function $\varphi(u) = u(f)$ \Rightarrow formally:

$$d\varphi(u_t) = [u_t(\partial_{xx} f) - u_t^2(\partial_x f)]dt + dM_t(f)$$

so

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(u) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(u_t) | u_0 = u] |_{t=0} = u(\partial_{xx} f) - u^2(\partial_x f)$$

- But state space is $H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$
 $\Rightarrow u^2$ and thus $\mathcal{L}F(u)$ ill-defined for general $u \in H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$.
- Construct $\mathcal{L}\varphi(u)$ “for almost all” u ?
Q: Which reference measure?
A: u formally invariant under law of white noise.

Intermezzo: energy solutions

$$\text{Burgers eq. } \partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi$$

Gonçalves-Jara '14, Gubinelli-Jara '13, Gubinelli-P. '18:

- $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1/2-\varepsilon})$ s.t. $\text{law}(u_t) \ll \text{law}(\text{white noise})$ for all $t \geq 0$
+ technical conditions, then

$$\int_0^t \mathcal{L}\varphi(u_s) ds$$

well defined for “cylinder functions” $\varphi(u) = F(u(f_1), \dots, u(f_n))$.

- Use this to define “energy solution”.
- (Strong) well-posedness of energy solutions via “Cole-Hopf transformation”.

Intermezzo: energy solutions

$$\text{Burgers eq. } \partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi$$

Gonçalves-Jara '14, Gubinelli-Jara '13, Gubinelli-P. '18:

- $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1/2-\varepsilon})$ s.t. $\text{law}(u_t) \ll \text{law}(\text{white noise})$ for all $t \geq 0$
+ technical conditions, then

$$\int_0^t \mathcal{L}\varphi(u_s) ds$$

well defined for “cylinder functions” $\varphi(u) = F(u(f_1), \dots, u(f_n))$.

- Use this to define “energy solution”.
- (Strong) well-posedness of energy solutions via “Cole-Hopf transformation”.

Remained open:

- **Construct** $\mathcal{L}\varphi(u_t)$? (Compare $\int_0^t \delta(B_s) ds = L_t$ vs $\delta(B_t)$.)
- What to do when **Cole-Hopf** does not work?

Back to the generator

Formal derivation of $\mathcal{L}\varphi$ for general test functions $\varphi: H^{-1/2-\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

- Recall generator for SDE $dY_t = b(Y_t)dt + dW_t$:

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(y) = \sum_k b_k(y) \partial_k \varphi(y) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi(y)$$

- Burgers eq. $\partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi$, so should get

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(u) = \sum_k \langle \partial_x u^2, e_k \rangle D_{e_k} \varphi(u) + \mathcal{L}_0 \varphi(u) =: \mathcal{G}\varphi(u) + \mathcal{L}_0 \varphi(u)$$

for “Malliavin derivative” D .

How to find a domain?

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(u) = \sum_k \langle \partial_x u^2, e_k \rangle D_{e_k} \varphi(u) + \mathcal{L}_0 \varphi(u)$$

- Idea (inspired by SDE results of Flandoli-Russo-Wolf '03, Delarue-Diel '16): solve **resolvent equation**

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{L})\varphi = \psi,$$

then $\mathcal{L}\varphi = \lambda\varphi - \psi$ ok.

- ∞ -dimensional PDE!
equation on $H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$;
reference measure? regularity? function spaces?

White noise and Fock space

- **Gaussian structure:**

Invariant measure μ for Burgers eq. is white noise: centered Gaussian,

$$\int u(f)u(g)\mu(du) = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}.$$

- **Chaos decomposition:**

*$L^2(\mu)$ isomorphic (even isometry) to **Fock space** $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L^2_s(\mathbb{T}^n)$,*

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle \varphi_n, \psi_n \rangle_{L^2_s(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

($L^2_s(\mathbb{T}^n)$): φ_n symmetric under $x_i \leftrightarrow x_j$)

Regularity and distributions on Fock space

Fock space $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L^2_s(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \langle \varphi_n, \psi_n \rangle_{L^2_s(\mathbb{T}^n)}$.

- Heat equation generator:

$$(\mathcal{L}_0 \varphi)_n = \Delta \varphi_n = (\partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 + \cdots + \partial_{x_n x_n}^2) \varphi_n$$

- Number operator (=Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator):

$$(\mathcal{N} \varphi)_n = n \varphi_n$$

- Measure **regularity** with \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{N} :
*E.g. φ is (Hida) **distribution on $L^2(\mu)$** if*

$$\| (1 + \mathcal{N})^{-1} (1 - \mathcal{L}_0)^{-2} \varphi \| < \infty.$$

*Can test $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ for nice ψ , but
 $\varphi \notin L^2(\mu)$, not even a random variable.*

$$\mathcal{H}_\beta^\alpha := \text{dom}((1 + \mathcal{N})^\beta (1 - \mathcal{L}_0)^\alpha)$$

The Burgers generator

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{G}.$$

- Same \mathcal{L}_0 as before.
- $\mathcal{G}\varphi$ **only distribution** – even for smooth φ :

$$\|\mathcal{G}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta-1}^{\alpha-3/4}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\alpha}} \quad \underline{\text{if}} \quad \alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

- **Resolvent equation:**

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{L})\varphi = \psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \varphi = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0)^{-1}(\mathcal{G}\varphi + \psi).$$

- \mathcal{G} **loses \mathcal{N} -regularity:**

$$\|\mathcal{G}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta-1}^{\alpha-3/4}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\alpha}};$$

- $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0)^{-1}$ **only gains \mathcal{L}_0 -regularity:**

$$\|(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\alpha+1}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\alpha}}.$$

Even have $(1 - \mathcal{L}_0)^{1/4}$ to spare; **tradeoff \mathcal{N} vs \mathcal{L}_0 ?**

Tradeoff \mathcal{N} vs \mathcal{L}_0 : spectral calculus (=Fourier coordinates)

- Let

$$\Pi^\gamma = \mathbb{1}_{1-\mathcal{L}_0 > (1+\mathcal{N})^4}$$

(just set $\mathcal{F}(\Pi^\gamma \varphi)_n(k) = \mathbb{1}_{(1+|2\pi k|^2) > (1+n)^4} \mathcal{F}\varphi_n(k)$)

- \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi^\gamma \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta+1}^\alpha} &= \|(1-\mathcal{L}_0)^\alpha (1+\mathcal{N})^{\beta+1} \mathbb{1}_{1-\mathcal{L}_0 > (1+\mathcal{N})^4} \varphi\| \\ &\leq \|(1-\mathcal{L}_0)^\alpha (1+\mathcal{N})^\beta (1-\mathcal{L}_0)^{1/4} \mathbb{1}_{1-\mathcal{L}_0 > (1+\mathcal{N})^4} \varphi\| \\ &= \|\Pi^\gamma \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_\beta^{\alpha+1/4}} \end{aligned}$$

Modified resolvent equation

Recall:

- $\|\mathcal{G}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta-1}^{-1/4}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{1/2}}$;
- $\|\Pi^{\gamma}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{-1/2}} \leq \|\Pi^{\gamma}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta-1}^{-1/4}}$

\Rightarrow for $\mathcal{G}^{\gamma} = \Pi^{\gamma}\mathcal{G}$:

$$\|\mathcal{G}^{\gamma}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{-1/2}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{1/2}}$$

and we can solve **modified resolvent eq.** for all $\lambda \geq 0$:

$$(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0)\varphi = \mathcal{G}^{\gamma}\varphi + \psi$$

Definition

φ is **controlled** if

$$\varphi = (-\mathcal{L}_0)^{-1}\mathcal{G}^{\gamma}\varphi + \varphi^{\#}, \quad \varphi^{\#} \text{ "nice"}.$$

Domain for the Burgers generator

φ controlled if with $\mathcal{G}^\gamma = \Pi^\gamma \mathcal{G}$:

$$\varphi = (-\mathcal{L}_0)^{-1} \mathcal{G}^\gamma \varphi + \varphi^\#, \quad \varphi^\# \text{ "nice".}$$

Lemma

Controlled φ exist and are dense.

Proof: Picard iteration + (mildly) tedious estimates.

Lemma

$\mathcal{L}\varphi \in L^2(\mu)$ for all controlled φ .

Proof: direct computation via

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi = \mathcal{L}_0[(-\mathcal{L}_0)^{-1} \mathcal{G}^\gamma \varphi + \varphi^\#] + \mathcal{G}\varphi = \mathcal{L}_0\varphi^\# + \mathcal{G}^\gamma \varphi.$$

Martingale problem: existence

$$\text{dom}(\mathcal{L}) = \{\varphi : \varphi = (-\mathcal{L}_0)^{-1} \mathcal{G}^\gamma \varphi + \varphi^\#, \varphi^\# \text{ "nice"}\}$$

- **Absolute continuity:**

$\text{dom}(\mathcal{L}) \subset L^2(\mu)$, but $\varphi(u)$ *not defined* $\forall u \in H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$;

\Rightarrow let (u_t) w/ trajectories in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}))$ s.t. $\text{law}(u_t) \ll \mu \forall t \geq 0$.

- **Martingale problem:** absolutely continuous u with

$$\varphi(u_t) - \varphi(u_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}\varphi(u_s) ds = \text{Mart.} \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{L}).$$

- **Existence:**

via *Galerkin approximation*, easy.

- **Uniqueness?**

\rightarrow *duality* of martingale problem and *backward equation*.

Duality and uniqueness for the martingale problem

Kolmogorov backward equation

$$\partial_t \varphi = \mathcal{L}\varphi, \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi_0 \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{L})$$

Existence via energy estimates + controlled ansatz.

- **Duality:**

u solution to martingale problem, φ solution to backward eq., then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\varphi_0(u_t)] &= \mathbb{E}[\varphi(t-t, u_t)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(t-0, u_0) + \int_0^t (\partial_s + \mathcal{L})\varphi(t-s, u_s) ds\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\varphi(t, u_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

- **Existence** for martingale problem \Rightarrow **uniqueness** for backward eq.
- **Existence** for backward eq. \Rightarrow **uniqueness** of martingale problem (*one-dim margins, then inductively for finite-dim margins*).

A better idea by L. Gräfner

Consider “nice” approximation \mathcal{G}^m of \mathcal{G} and

$$(1 - \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{G}^m)\varphi^m = \psi.$$

Test against φ^m :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi^m, \psi \rangle &= \langle \varphi^m, (1 - \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{G}^m)\varphi^m \rangle \\ &= \|(1 - \mathcal{L}_0)^{1/2}\varphi^m\|^2 - \underbrace{\langle \varphi^m, \mathcal{G}^m\varphi^m \rangle}_{\substack{\text{antisymm.} \\ = \langle -\mathcal{G}^m\varphi^m, \varphi^m \rangle = 0}} \\ &= \|\varphi^m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{1/2}}^2\end{aligned}$$

A better idea by L. Gräfner

Consider “nice” approximation \mathcal{G}^m of \mathcal{G} and

$$(1 - \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{G}^m)\varphi^m = \psi.$$

Test against φ^m :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi^m, \psi \rangle &= \langle \varphi^m, (1 - \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{G}^m)\varphi^m \rangle \\ &= \|(1 - \mathcal{L}_0)^{1/2}\varphi^m\|^2 - \underbrace{\langle \varphi^m, \mathcal{G}^m\varphi^m \rangle}_{\substack{\text{antisymm.} \\ = \langle -\mathcal{G}^m\varphi^m, \varphi^m \rangle = 0}} \\ &= \|\varphi^m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{1/2}}^2\end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi^m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{1/2}}^2 &= \langle \varphi^m, \psi \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi^m\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \|\varphi^m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{1/2}}^2 &\leq \|\psi\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Gräfner's idea continued

$$(1 - \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{G}^m}_{=: \mathcal{L}^m})\varphi^m = \psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\varphi^m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{1/2}}^2 \leq \|\psi\|^2$$

- Subsequence $(\varphi^{m_k})_k$ converges in $\mathcal{H}_{-\varepsilon}^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ to some φ .
- Recall: $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}_0: \mathcal{H}_\beta^{1/3} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\beta-1}^{-2/3}$ are bounded

$$\Rightarrow \quad (1 - \mathcal{L})\varphi = \lim_k \underbrace{(1 - \mathcal{L}^{m_k})\varphi^{m_k}}_{\text{conv. in } \mathcal{H}_{-1-\varepsilon}^{-2/3}} = \psi$$

Gräfnér's idea continued

$$(1 - \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{G}^m}_{=: \mathcal{L}^m})\varphi^m = \psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\varphi^m\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^{1/2}}^2 \leq \|\psi\|^2$$

- Subsequence $(\varphi^{m_k})_k$ converges in $\mathcal{H}_{-\varepsilon}^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ to some φ .
- Recall: $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}_0: \mathcal{H}_\beta^{1/3} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\beta-1}^{-2/3}$ are bounded

$$\Rightarrow \quad (1 - \mathcal{L})\varphi = \lim_k \underbrace{(1 - \mathcal{L}^{m_k})\varphi^{m_k}}_{\text{conv. in } \mathcal{H}_{-1-\varepsilon}^{-2/3}} = \psi$$

- Some more work: Find one subsequence (m_k) s.t.
 $\mathcal{A}\psi = \lim_k (1 - \mathcal{L}^{m_k})^{-1}\psi$ exists for all $\psi \in L^2 = \mathcal{H}_0^0$

A domain for \mathcal{L}

$\text{dom}(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{A}L^2$ with

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\psi = -(1 - \mathcal{L})\mathcal{A}\psi + \mathcal{A}\psi = -\psi + \mathcal{A}\psi \in L^2$$

The semigroup

Lumer-Philips Theorem

Operator L on Hilbert space which is **dissipative** ($\langle h, Lh \rangle_H \leq 0$) and $(1 - L)$ is **surjective**.

Then L is closed, $\text{dom}(L)$ is **dense** and L generates a **contraction semigroup** $T_t = e^{tL}$, $t \geq 0$.

For us:

- $(1 - \mathcal{L})\mathcal{A}\psi = \psi$ for all $\psi \in L^2 \Rightarrow (1 - \mathcal{L})$ is surjective.
- $\langle \varphi, \mathcal{L}\varphi \rangle = -\|(-\mathcal{L}_0)^{1/2}\varphi\|^2 + \underbrace{\langle \varphi, \mathcal{G}\varphi \rangle}_{=0} \leq 0$.

Remarks

- Non-perturbative approach. Works for

$$\partial_t u = -(-\Delta)^\alpha u + \partial_x u^2 + (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \xi$$

if $\alpha > 1/2$. Note: equ. is **critical** for $\alpha = 3/4$.

- **Uniqueness** of the semigroup \leftrightarrow uniqueness of $\text{dom}(L)$.
- Get **uniqueness** and **duality with martingale problem** if we find dense

$$D \subset \text{dom}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \mathcal{H}_2^{1/2}.$$

- L. Gräfner: achieve this by treating \mathcal{L}^m as **operator in \mathcal{H}_2^0** .
Then \mathcal{G}^m no longer antisymmetric, but **“close to antisymmetric”**
(perturbative argument).
Works if $\alpha > 3/4$ or $\alpha = 3/4 +$ **smallness condition**.

Extensions and limitations

Approach works also for:

- **Multi-component Burgers eq.** of Funaki-Hoshino '17, Kupiainen-Marcozzi '17

$$\partial_t u^i = \Delta u^i + \sum_{j,k} \Gamma_{jk}^i \partial_x (u^j u^k) + \partial_x \xi^i$$

under “trilinear condition” of Funaki-Hoshino '17: $\Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i = \Gamma_{ki}^j$.

- **2d Navier-Stokes type eq.** Gubinelli-Turra '20.
- **Modified surface quasi-geostrophic eq.** Luo-Zhu '20.
- **(Critical) surface quasi-geostrophic eq.** Gräfner '21.
- **Limitations:**
 - need **Gaussian invariant measure** (quasi-invariance might work),
 - need **antisymmetry of \mathcal{G}** .

Some easy consequences

- Weak universality for fractional Burgers Sethuraman '16, Gonçalves-Jara '18 and multi-component Burgers Bernardin-Funaki-Sethuraman '19.
- Burgers on \mathbb{R} is **ergodic**.
- Burgers on \mathbb{T} is **exponentially ergodic**:

$$\int \left| \mathbb{E}_u[\varphi(u_t)] - \int \varphi d\mu \right|^2 d\mu(u) \leq e^{-8\pi^2 t} \int \varphi^2 d\mu.$$

Ergodicity on \mathbb{T} known by Hairer-Mattingly '18.

- (Multi-component / fractional) KPZ on \mathbb{T} has **Gaussian fluctuations**.
Tracy-Widom fluctuations on \mathbb{R} Sasamoto-Spohn '10, Amir-Corwin-Quastel '11.
(Usual) KPZ on \mathbb{T} has Gaussian fluctuations by Gu-Komorowski '21.

Summary

- Probabilistic theory for singular SPDEs
↔ ∞ -dim singular operator $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{G}$.
- Under **Gaussian (invariant) measure**:
use chaos decomposition → work on Fock space
- Construct $\text{dom}(\mathcal{L})$ via ideas from **paracontrolled distributions**.
- **Duality gives uniqueness** for martingale prob. and backward eq.
- With new input from Gräfner: works for some **critical equations**.
- Need Gaussian measure. **Beyond: unclear.**

Summary

- Probabilistic theory for singular SPDEs
↔ ∞ -dim singular operator $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{G}$.
- Under **Gaussian (invariant) measure**:
use chaos decomposition → work on Fock space
- Construct $\text{dom}(\mathcal{L})$ via ideas from **paracontrolled distributions**.
- **Duality gives uniqueness** for martingale prob. and backward eq.
- With new input from Gräfner: works for some **critical equations**.
- Need Gaussian measure. **Beyond: unclear.**

Thank you!