

# 1 Solution to problem 3 of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Übungsblatt

(a) Show that the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence.

Reflexivity ( $x_\alpha \sim x_\alpha$ ) and symmetry ( $x_\alpha \sim x_\beta \Rightarrow x_\beta \sim x_\alpha$ ) are obvious. Let  $x_\alpha \sim x_\beta$ ,  $x_\beta \sim x_\gamma$  with  $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ ,  $x_\beta \in V_\beta$ ,  $x_\gamma \in V_\gamma$ . Then  $\exists x_1 \in V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \subset M \mid \psi_\alpha(x_1) = x_\alpha, \psi_\beta(x_1) = x_\beta$  and  $\exists x_2 \in V_\beta \cap V_\gamma \subset M \mid \psi_\beta(x_2) = x_\beta, \psi_\gamma(x_2) = x_\gamma$ . But  $x_1 = x_2$ , as we have both  $\psi_\beta(x_1) = x_\beta$  and  $\psi_\beta(x_2) = x_\beta$  and  $\psi_\beta$  is bijective.

So it follows that  $x_1 = x_2 \in V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \cap V_\gamma \subset V_\alpha \cap V_\gamma$  and  $\psi_\alpha(x_1) = x_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ ,  $\psi_\gamma(x_1) = x_\gamma \in V_\gamma$ . Thus transitivity is verified.

*Interlude* Now we consider the disjoint union  $V := \coprod_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha$ . As mentioned in the text, one should denote elements of this set as  $(x, \alpha) \in \coprod_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha$ , where  $x \in V_\alpha$ , but often one simply writes  $x_\alpha$  instead. This simplifies the notation, but may create some confusion. For example, it might happen that  $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \neq \emptyset$  as subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $x_\alpha = x_\beta$ , where we are thinking of  $x_\alpha, x_\beta$  as elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . But when viewed as elements in the disjoint union, they are distinct, i.e.  $(x_\alpha, \alpha) \neq (x_\beta, \beta)$  even if  $x_\alpha = x_\beta$ .

We could denote by  $\iota_\alpha : V_\alpha \rightarrow V$  the injection sending the space  $V_\alpha$  into  $V$ , then, for example,  $x_\alpha \in V_\alpha$  and  $\iota_\alpha(x_\alpha) \in V$ .

The topology of  $V$  is briefly described in the text: first consider  $I \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with the product topology (discrete topology for  $I$ , i.e. any subset of  $I$  is open, and the usual topology for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Then  $V$  inherits the subset topology, as  $V \subset I \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Equivalently, a subset  $O \subset V$  is open, iff  $\iota_\alpha^{-1}(O) \subset V_\alpha$  is open for each  $\iota_\alpha$ . To make a short story even shorter, a set  $O \subset V$  is open if and only if it is of the form  $\coprod_{\alpha \in I} O_\alpha$ , with each  $O_\alpha$  open in  $V_\alpha$ .

(b) consider  $N := V / \sim$  (the quotient space with quotient topology). We have to show that the map  $\phi : V \rightarrow M$  descends to a map  $\bar{\phi} : N \rightarrow M$ . This just amounts to checking that  $\phi$  is constant on equivalence classes, i.e.  $\forall x_\alpha \in V_\alpha, x_\beta \in V_\beta$  such that  $x_\alpha \sim x_\beta$ ,  $\phi(x_\alpha) = \phi(x_\beta)$ . But this property is obvious, it is a restatement of the equivalence condition given above:  $x_\alpha \sim x_\beta$  precisely when  $\exists x \in M \mid \psi_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = x = \psi_\beta^{-1}(x_\beta)$ .

Thus we can define  $\bar{\phi}([x_\alpha]) := \phi(x_\alpha)$ , as the result does not depend on the choice of representative  $x_\alpha$  of the class  $[x_\alpha]$  ( $x_\alpha$  viewed as element of  $V$  here!)

*Remark.* Let  $(U_\beta, \psi_\beta)$  be a chart of the atlas. Then the inverse  $\bar{\phi}^{-1}$  does not restrict to a homeomorphism between  $U_\beta$  and  $V_\beta$ ! In fact,  $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(U_\beta) = \pi(\coprod_{\alpha \in I} \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta))$ , where  $\pi : V \rightarrow N$  is, as usual, the quotient map.

(c)  $\bar{\phi}$  is a homeomorphism:

- **Surjectivity.** For any point  $x \in M$  we can find a chart  $(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$  with  $x \in U$ . Then  $\psi_\alpha(x) \in V$  and  $\bar{\phi}([\psi_\alpha(x)]) = x$ .
- **Injectivity.** Let  $[x_\alpha], [x_\beta] \in N$  and suppose  $\bar{\phi}([x_\alpha]) = \bar{\phi}([x_\beta])$ . This means  $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = \psi_\beta^{-1}(x_\beta) = x$  for some  $x \in M$ . But this is precisely the equivalence relation.
- $\bar{\phi}$  is continuous. Let  $O \subset M$  be an open subset. Then  $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(O) = \pi(\coprod_{\alpha \in I} \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap O))$ .  $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(O)$  is open in  $N$  iff  $\pi^{-1}(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(O))$  is open in  $V$ .  $\pi^{-1}(\bar{\phi}^{-1}(O)) = \coprod_{\alpha \in I} \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap O)$  and this is an open set (in  $V$ ), as each  $\psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap O) \subset V_\alpha$  is open.
- $\bar{\phi}$  is open. Let  $E \subset N$  be open. Then  $\pi^{-1}(E) \subset V$  is open, i.e.  $\pi^{-1}(E) = \coprod_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha$ , with each  $E_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$  open. Then  $\bar{\phi}(E) = \cup_{\alpha \in I} \phi_\alpha(E_\alpha) \subset M$  which, as a union of open sets, is open.