

Differentialgeometrie II

Problems

Remark “Covector field” and “1-form” are synonymous.

1 Boothby, Ex. V.3.1

Using spherical coordinates (θ, ϕ) on the unit sphere $\rho = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , determine the components $(g_{i,j})$ of the Riemannian metric on the domain of the coordinates.

Sketch of solution We view \mathbb{R}^3 as a Riemannian manifold with its usual coordinates $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, associated frame $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\}$ and coframe $\{dx_1, dx_2, dx_3\}$ and $\Psi_*^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})$ its standard metric $\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}|_p, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}|_p) = g_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$.

We consider spherical coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 , that is, a chart (U, Ψ) , where U is \mathbb{R}^3 minus the x_3 -axis and $\Psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times (-\pi/2, \pi/2) \times [0, 2\pi)$ is given by the coordinate functions $\{\theta, \phi, \rho\}$. We give the expression for Ψ^{-1} , which is what we will use in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \rho \cos(\theta) \cos(\phi), \\x_2 &= \rho \cos(\theta) \sin(\phi), \\x_3 &= \rho \sin(\theta).\end{aligned}$$

We now consider, on its domain of definition, the frame associated to these coordinates. It would be correct (but uncomfortable) to denote these fields as $\Psi_*^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})$, $\Psi_*^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi})$, $\Psi_*^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho})$. We will instead, as customary, simply write $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$.

Describe now $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$ as $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -linear combinations of the $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Remember that for an arbitrary vector field X , its i -th component with respect to the standard frame is given by $dx_i(X)$.

Thus, for example, $dx_1(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} x_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\rho \cos(\theta) \cos(\phi)) = -\sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) \rho$.

The same for the other components gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = -\sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) \rho (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}) - \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) \rho (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) + \cos(\theta) \rho (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3})$.

Compute $\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho})$ as well as the analogous expression for the remaining choices of pairs of fields. Remember that Φ is $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -bilinear, so you can reduce the computation to combinations of the $\Phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})$.

This will give you the expression of the (same) Riemannian metric with respect to the new coordinates.

2 Boothby, Ex. V.2.3

Let f_1, \dots, f_r , $r \leq n$, be C^∞ functions on an open set of an n -dimensional manifold M . Prove that there are coordinates (V, ψ) in a neighbourhood of p such that f_1, \dots, f_r are among the coordinate functions if and only if df_1, \dots, df_r are linearly independent at p .

Sketch of the proof. “If “ part. If the 1-forms are linearly independent at p , they will be linearly independent on an open neighbourhood W of p as well. Consider the set of vector fields in $\{X \in \mathcal{X}(W) \mid df_i(X) = 0\}$, i.e. all those vector fields on which the df_i take value zero. Show that it is a distribution of dimension $n - r$ and that it is involutive, i.e. if $df_i(X) = 0, df_i(Y) = 0$ then it follows that $df_i([X, Y]) = 0$. Use Frobenius’ theorem to conclude that there is a coordinate chart (V, ϕ) with coordinates y_1, \dots, y_n such that the last $n - r$ coordinates describe integral manifolds for the distribution. The f_i are constant on these integral manifolds, i.e. $df_i(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = 0$ for $i = n - r + 1, \dots, n$. Thus the f_i depend only on the first r variables : $f_i(y_1, \dots, y_r)$. By assumption the df_i are linearly independent. With respect to the coframe $\psi^{-1*}(dy_j)$ their coordinates are given by the Jacobi matrix $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j}, i, j \in (1, \dots, r)$, which thus must be invertible on V . So the f_i determine a diffeomorphism (from a subset of \mathbb{R}^r described by (y_1, \dots, y_r) to a subset of \mathbb{R}^r). The requested (V, ψ) is described by coordinates $(f_1, \dots, f_r, y_{r+1}, \dots, y_n)$.

3 Boothby, Ex. V.2.2

Sketch of solution We view $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{n^2} with coordinates $x_{i,j}$ and associated coframe $dx_{i,j}$. Thus a point $X \in G$ is a matrix $(x_{i,j})$. $X^{-1} = Y = (y_{i,j})$. $R_A : G \rightarrow G$ is the diffeomorphism of G given by $(x_{i,j}) \rightarrow (\sum_k x_{i,k} a_{k,j})$, where $a_{i,j}$ are the coefficients of the invertible matrix A . Thus R_A is determined by n^2 real valued functions $f_{i,j}(x_{\alpha,\beta}) = \sum_k x_{i,k} a_{k,j}$.

The value of the form $\sigma_{i,j}$ at the point X of the manifold is given by $\sigma_{i,j_X} = \sum_k y_{i,k} dx_{k,j_X}$, while the value at $R_A(X)$ is given by $\sigma_{i,j_{R_A(X)}} = \sum_{\alpha,k} (a^{-1})_{i\alpha} y_{\alpha,k} dx_{k,j_{R_A(X)}}$, where $\sum_{\alpha} (a^{-1})_{i,\alpha} y_{\alpha k}$ is the inverse of XA .

We must show that $R_A^*(\sigma_{i,j}) = \sigma_{i,j}$, i.e. $R_A^*(\sigma_{i,j_{R_A(X)}}) = \sigma_{i,j_X}$.

You may want to confront the formulas given in *Boothby, Th. V.1.6, Cor. V.1.7*. In our case $M = N = G, F = R_A$. As coordinates we have $x_{i,j}$ (instead of x_i) and the $f_{i,j}$ introduced above play the role of the y_i in the book. The role of the ω_i and $\tilde{\omega}_i$ are played by the $dx_{i,j}$ in our case.

So we can write $R_A^*(dx_{i,j_{R_A(X)}}) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\partial f_{i,j}}{\partial x_{\alpha,\beta}} \Big|_X dx_{\alpha,\beta_X}$, with $\frac{\partial f_{i,j}}{\partial x_{\alpha,\beta}} \Big|_X = a_{\beta,j} \delta_{\alpha,i}$.

Compute and check ...

4 Boothby, Ex. V.1.6

Sketch of solution The first question should sound pretty obvious. The second question is almost, but not exactly, what is proven in *Boothby V.1.2*. That is, let σ be a $C^\infty(M)$ -linear mapping from $\mathcal{X}(M)$ to $C^\infty(M)$. It is not assumed that σ assigns an element of T_p^*M to each $p \in M$. This can be done a posteriori: define $\sigma_p(X_p) := \sigma(X)(p)$ for any $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ which takes the value X_p at p . You must show that this definition is consistent, i.e. that for any other $X' \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ with $X'_p = X_p$ it follows that $\sigma(X)(p) = \sigma(X')(p)$, as well as all the other properties which characterise a C^∞ covectorfield.

5 Question

Let M be an m -dimensional manifold and let N be an n -dimensional regular submanifold. Choose a point $p \in N$ and a regular coordinate neighbourhood (U, ϕ) of p with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_m such that $U \cap N$ is described by $(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots)$. Consider the associated frame $E_i = \phi_*^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}), i \in (1, \dots, m)$. So the generic element of $X_p \in T_p M$ can be described as $X_p = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i E_{i_p}$ and the generic vector Y_p in $T_p N$ as $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i E_{i_p}$. Consider the following linear map $\pi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p N$ defined by $\pi(X_p) =$

$\pi(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i E_{i_F}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_{i_p}$, i.e. the last $m - n$ components of the vector are cut out, leaving a vector in $T_p N$. Does this map depend on the choice of the regular neighbourhood?