

Using SAT Solvers in Combinatorics and Geometry

Manfred Scheucher

Boolean satisfiability problem

- Given Boolean formula, is there an assignment such that the formula is true?

Boolean satisfiability problem

- Given Boolean formula, is there an assignment such that the formula is true?
- NP-complete, but quite good heuristics

Why to use SAT Solvers?

- Prove/disprove existence of structure


usually faster

Why to use SAT Solvers?

- Prove/disprove existence of structure
- Find (counter)examples
- Counting occurrences
- Induction base

Today's Topics

- L-shaped Point Set Embeddings of Trees
(with Torsten Mütze)
- Orthogonal Symmetric Chain Decompositions
(with Karl Däubel, Sven Jäger, and Torsten Mütze)
- (Disjoint) Holes in Point Sets
- Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs
(with Hendrik Schrezenmaier and Raphael Steiner)

L-Shaped Point Set Embeddings

joint work with Torsten Mütze


arXiv:1807.11043

L-Shaped Point Set Embeddings

T ... tree on n vertices

P ... set of m points

- vertices of T drawn as points of P
- edges drawn as unions of two axis parallel line segments



L-Shaped Point Set Embeddings

$f(T)$... minimum number m s.t. tree T admits a planar L -shaped embedding in any set of m points

$$f_d(n) := \max_{\substack{T : \text{tree on } n \text{ vertices} \\ \text{max. deg. } \Delta(T) \leq d}} f(T)$$

L-Shaped Point Set Embeddings

$f(T)$... minimum number m s.t. tree T admits a planar L -shaped embedding in any set of m points

$$f_d(n) := \max_{\substack{T : \text{tree on } n \text{ vertices} \\ \text{max. deg. } \Delta(T) \leq d}} f(T)$$

- $f_4(n) \leq n^2$ [Di Giacomo, Frati, Fulek, Grilli, Krug '13]
- $f_4(n) \leq O(n^{1.58})$ [S.'15, Aichholzer-Hackl-S.'16]
- $f_3(n) \leq O(n^{1.22})$, $f_4(n) \leq O(n^{1.55})$ [Biedl et al.'17]
- no non-trivial lower bound ($\geq n$ is trivial)

SAT Model

- T ... tree on vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$
- P ... point set $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$
- formulate Boolean satisfiability instance:
 \exists solution iff. T admits an L-shaped embedding in P

SAT Model: Variables

- $M_{i,j}$... vertex v_i is mapped to point P_j
- $H_{a,b}$... edge ab is connected horizontally to a

SAT Model: Clauses

- Injective mapping V to P

every vertex v_i has to be mapped:

$$\bigvee_j M_{i,j}$$


no two vertices v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} are mapped to the same point:

$$\neg M_{i_1,j} \vee \neg M_{i_2,j}$$

SAT Model: Clauses

- Injective mapping V to P
- L-shaped edges:
 ab connects either vertically or horizontally to a (and b)


$$H_{a,b} \vee H_{b,a}, \quad \neg H_{a,b} \vee \neg H_{b,a}$$



SAT Model: Clauses

- Injective mapping V to P
- L-shaped edges:
 ab connects either vertically or horizontally to a (and b)
- No overlapping edges


order of points is important



SAT Model: Clauses



- Injective mapping V to P
- L-shaped edges:
 ab connects either vertically or horizontally to a (and b)
- No overlapping edges

If p left of q and r ,
and $v_a \rightarrow p, v_b \rightarrow q, v_c \rightarrow r$,
then not both edges ab and ac horizontally connected



SAT Model: Clauses

- Injective mapping V to P
- L-shaped edges:
 ab connects either vertically or horizontally to a (and b)
- No overlapping edges



If p left of q and r ,  only depends on point set
and $v_a \rightarrow p, v_b \rightarrow q, v_c \rightarrow r$,  only depends on variables
then not both edges ab and ac horizontally connected

For each three points p, q, r with p left of q and r :

$$\neg M_{a,p} \vee \neg M_{b,q} \vee \neg M_{c,r} \vee \neg H_{a,b} \vee \neg H_{a,c}$$

SAT Model: Clauses



- Injective mapping V to P
- L-shaped edges:
 ab connects either vertically or horizontally to a (and b)
- No overlapping edges
- No crossing edges



Results

Theorem: Every tree on $n \leq 12$ vertices admits an L-shaped embedding in every set of n points.

Theorem: T_{13} has no L-shaped embedding in P_{13} .





Results

Theorem: Every tree on $n \leq 12$ vertices admits an L-shaped embedding in every set of n points.

Theorem: T_{13} has no L-shaped embedding in P_{13} .

- Further examples for $n \in \{13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20\}$
- If cyclic order fixed, infinite family



And now for something completely different

Orthogonal Chain Decompositions

**joint work with
Karl Däubel, Sven Jäger, and Torsten Mütze**


arXiv:1810.09847

Orthogonal Chain Decompositions


- Boolean lattice Q_n

can be partitioned into $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ chains [Dilworth '50]

Orthogonal Chain Decompositions



Orthogonal Chain Decompositions

- Boolean lattice Q_n
 can be partitioned into $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ chains [Dilworth '50]
- *orthogonal* chain-decompositions:
any two chains from two decompositions
have at most one element in common

Orthogonal Chain Decompositions

- \exists two orthogonal CDs in $Q_{n \geq 2}$ [Shearer-Kleitman '79]
- moreover, they conjectured $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$

Orthogonal Chain Decompositions

- \exists two orthogonal CDs in $Q_{n \geq 2}$ [Shearer-Kleitman '79]
- moreover, they conjectured $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$
- \exists three orthogonal CDs in $Q_{n \geq 24}$ [Spink '17]

Proof Idea:

1. (specific) orthogonal CDs for Q_5 and Q_7
2. Lemma " $Q_a, Q_b \Rightarrow Q_{a+b}$ "

Orthogonal Chain Decompositions

- \exists two orthogonal CDs in $Q_{n \geq 2}$ [Shearer-Kleitman '79]
- moreover, they conjectured $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$
- \exists three orthogonal CDs in $Q_{n \geq 24}$ [Spink '17]

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
almost-orth.	1	2	2	2	3	3*	4*	3*	3*	3	4*
$\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6

found via SAT solver

Theorem: \exists four orthogonal CDs in $Q_{n \geq 60}$.
 [Däubel-Jäger-Mütze-S. '18]


SAT Instance

- Problem: naive CNF formulation much too big



SAT Instance

- Problem: naive CNF formulation much too big
- use symmetries

SAT Instance



SAT Instance



SAT Instance


- Problem: naive CNF formulation much too big
- use symmetries
- iteratively add clauses to “correct errors”

(incremental solving supported by minisat/glucose)

And now for something more completely different


Classical Erdős–Szekeres

- Given n points in the plane in general position, is there subset size k in convex position (" k -gon")?



Classical Erdős–Szekeres

- Given n points in the plane in general position, is there subset size k in convex position (" k -gon")?



Classical Erdős–Szekeres

- Given n points in the plane in general position, is there subset size k in convex position (" k -gon")?

Theorem. $2^{k-2} + 1 \leq g(k) \leq \binom{2k-4}{k-2}$. [Erdős–Szekeres '35]



equality conjectured by Szekeres, Erdős offered 500\$ for a proof

Classical Erdős–Szekeres

- Given n points in the plane in general position, is there subset size k in convex position (" k -gon")?

Theorem. $2^{k-2} + 1 \leq g(k) \leq \binom{2k-4}{k-2}$. [Erdős–Szekeres '35]

⋮

Theorem. $g(k) \leq 2^{k+o(k)}$. [Suk '17]

Classical Erdős–Szekeres

- Given n points in the plane in general position, is there subset size k in convex position (" k -gon")?

Theorem. $2^{k-2} + 1 \leq g(k) \leq \binom{2k-4}{k-2}$. [Erdős–Szekeres '35]

⋮

Theorem. $g(k) \leq 2^{k+o(k)}$. [Suk '17]

Known: $g(4) = 5$, $g(5) = 9$, $g(6) = 17$



computer assisted proof, 1500 CPU hours [Szekeres–Peters '06]

Classical Erdős–Szekeres


- Given n points in the plane in general position, is there subset size k in convex position (" k -gon")?

Theorem. $2^{k-2} + 1 \leq g(k) \leq \binom{2k-4}{k-2}$. [Erdős–Szekeres '35]

⋮

Theorem. $g(k) \leq 2^{k+o(k)}$. [Suk '17]

1 hour using SAT solvers!




Known: $g(4) = 5$, $g(5) = 9$, $g(6) = 17$



computer assisted proof, 1500 CPU hours [Szekeres–Peters '06]

SAT Formulation

- Variables for triple-orientations: $\chi_{abc} \in \{+, -\}$



SAT Formulation

- Variables for triple-orientations: $\chi_{abc} \in \{+, -\}$
- Axiomatize "point set": chirotope/signotope axioms

- Alternating axioms:

$$\chi_{i_{\pi(1)}, i_{\pi(2)}, i_{\pi(3)}} = \text{sgn}(\pi) \cdot \chi_{i_1, i_2, i_3}$$

- Exchange axioms:

$\Theta(n^6)$ many


For any $x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r$:

If $\chi_{y_i, x_2, \dots, x_r} \cdot \chi_{y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_1, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_r} \geq 0$ for every i ,
then $\chi_{x_1, \dots, x_r} \cdot \chi_{y_1, \dots, y_r} \geq 0$

SAT Formulation

- Variables for triple-orientations: $\chi_{abc} \in \{+, -\}$
- Axiomatize "point set": chirotope/signotope axioms
- Crossings via triple-orientations

$$\chi_{abc} \neq \chi_{abd} \text{ and } \chi_{cda} \neq \chi_{cdb}$$



SAT Formulation

- Variables for triple-orientations: $\chi_{abc} \in \{+, -\}$
- Axiomatize "point set": chirotope/signotope axioms
- Crossings via triple-orientations
- k -gons via crossings
(every 4-tuple in k -gon is in convex position)

Variant: k -Holes

- k -hole ... k -gon with no interior points

Variant: k -Holes

- k -hole ... k -gon with no interior points
- $h(4) = 5$, $h(5) = 10$, $30 \leq h(6) \leq 463$, $h(7) = \infty$

Harborth '78

Overmars '02

Gerken '08, Nicolas '07, Koshelev '09

Horton '83

Variant: k -Holes

- k -hole ... k -gon with no interior points
- $h(4) = 5$, $h(5) = 10$, $30 \leq h(6) \leq 463$, $h(7) = \infty$
- $h_3(n)$ and $h_4(n)$ quadratic



number of 3- and 4-holes, resp.

Variant: k -Holes

- k -hole ... k -gon with no interior points
- $h(4) = 5$, $h(5) = 10$, $30 \leq h(6) \leq 463$, $h(7) = \infty$
- $h_3(n)$ and $h_4(n)$ quadratic
- $h_5(n) = \Omega(n \log^{4/5} n)$ and $h_6(n) = \Omega(n)$

Variant: k -Holes

- k -hole ... k -gon with no interior points
- $h(4) = 5$, $h(5) = 10$, $30 \leq h(6) \leq 463$, $h(7) = \infty$
- $h_3(n)$ and $h_4(n)$ quadratic
- $h_5(n) = \Omega(n \log^{4/5} n)$ and $h_6(n) = \Omega(n)$
- $h_k(n)$ determined for small values of n

n	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
$h_5(n)$	0	1	2	3	3	6	9	11	≤ 16	≤ 21	≤ 26

Harborth '78 & Dehnhardt '87

S.'13

via SAT

Counting via SAT

- containment $p \in \Delta(a, b, c)$ via "no crossings"
- k -holes via crossings and containments
- *count* with variables $X_{a,b,c,\dots;\ell}$:
 a, b, c, \dots form the ℓ -th k -hole (in lexicographic order)

And now for something more completely different

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

**joint work with
Hendrik Schrezenmaier and Raphael Steiner**

arXiv:1811.06482

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

Definition: *n-universal* point set S :

\forall planar n -vertex graph G can be drawn straight-line on S .

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

Definition: *n-universal* point set S :

\forall planar n -vertex graph G can be drawn straight-line on S .

- $n \times n$ grid is n -universal [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4} - O(n)$ [Bannister–Cheng–Devanny–Eppstein '14]

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

Definition: *n-universal* point set S :

\forall planar n -vertex graph G can be drawn straight-line on S .

- $n \times n$ grid is n -universal [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4} - O(n)$ [Bannister–Cheng–Devanny–Eppstein '14]
- $|S| \geq n + \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \geq 1.235n(1 + o(1))$ [Kurowski '04]

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

Definition: *n-universal* point set S :

\forall planar n -vertex graph G can be drawn straight-line on S .

- $n \times n$ grid is n -universal [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4} - O(n)$ [Bannister–Cheng–Devanny–Eppstein '14]
- $|S| \geq n + \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \geq 1.235n(1 + o(1))$ [Kurowski '04]

- \exists n -universal sets for $n \leq 10$ [Cardinal–Hoffmann–Kusters '15]
- \nexists n -universal sets for $n \geq 15$ [Cardinal–Hoffmann–Kusters '15]

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

Definition: *n-universal* point set S :

\forall planar n -vertex graph G can be drawn straight-line on S .

- $n \times n$ grid is n -universal [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \leq \frac{n^2}{4} - O(n)$ [Bannister–Cheng–Devanny–Eppstein '14]
- $|S| \geq n + \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ [De Fraysseix–Pach–Pollack '90]
- ...
- $|S| \geq 1.235n(1 + o(1))$ [Kurowski '04] $|S| \geq 1.293n(1 + o(1))$
- \exists n -universal sets for $n \leq 10$ [Cardinal–Hoffmann–Kusters '15] \nexists 11-universal set on 11 points
- \nexists n -universal sets for $n \geq 15$ [Cardinal–Hoffmann–Kusters '15]

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

SAT model for a fixed set S and fixed graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$:

- Injective mapping $V(G) \rightarrow S$
 - No two edges cross (planarity)
- (similar idea as in L-shaped model)

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

SAT model for a fixed set S and fixed graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$:

- Injective mapping $V(G) \rightarrow S$ (similar idea as in L-shaped model)
- No two edges cross (planarity)

All in one SAT instance:

- all graphs can be handled simultaneously
- all point sets simultaneously (chirotope/signotope axioms)

... but solvers do not terminate ...

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

- Enumerate all triangulations on 11 vertices (plantri) (1,249)

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

- Enumerate all triangulations on 11 vertices (plantri) (1,249)
- Enumerate all chirotopes on 11 points (2,343,203,071)

via chirotope axioms, 20 CPU hours, 100 GB storage

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

- Enumerate all triangulations on 11 vertices (plantri) (1,249)
- Enumerate all chirotopes on 11 points (2,343,203,071)
- Start with \mathcal{G} as 11-vertex triangulations with maximum degree 10
- Test each pair S and G (SAT)

↑
priority queue ("hard" triangulations first)

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

- Enumerate all triangulations on 11 vertices (plantri) (1,249)
- Enumerate all chirotopes on 11 points (2,343,203,071)
- Start with \mathcal{G} as 11-vertex triangulations with maximum degree 10
- Test each pair S and G (SAT)
- For remaining \mathcal{G} -universal sets, use IP to find minimal set of triangulations which need to be added

Universal Point Sets for Planar Graphs

- Enumerate all triangulations on 11 vertices (plantri) (1,249)
- Enumerate all chirotopes on 11 points (2,343,203,071)
- Start with \mathcal{G} as 11-vertex triangulations with maximum degree 10
- Test each pair S and G (SAT)
- For remaining \mathcal{G} -universal sets, use IP to find minimal set of triangulations which need to be added
- 500 CPU days later:

conflict collection of 49 stacked triangulations !

 previously: 7393

Thank you for your attention!