

# 5

## Fractional Arboricity and Matroid Methods

The material in this chapter is motivated by two notions of the density of a graph. The *arboricity* and the *maximum average degree* of a graph  $G$  measure the concentration of edges in the “thickest” part of the graph.

### 5.1 Arboricity and maximum average degree

Suppose we wish to decompose the edges of a graph  $G$  into acyclic subsets, i.e., if  $G = (V, E)$  we want to find  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k \subseteq E$  so that (1) each of the subgraphs  $(V, E_i)$  is acyclic and (2)  $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_k$ . The smallest size of such a decomposition is called the *arboricity* (or *edge-arboricity*) of  $G$  and is denoted  $\Upsilon(G)$ . If  $G$  is connected, the arboricity is also the minimum number of spanning trees of  $G$  that include all edges of  $G$ .

One can think of arboricity as being a variant of the edge chromatic number. We are asked to paint the edges of  $G$  with as few colors as possible. In the case of edge chromatic number, we do not want to have two edges of the same color incident with a common vertex. In the case of arboricity, we do not want to have a monochromatic cycle.

There is an obvious lower bound on  $\Upsilon(G)$ . Since  $G$  has  $\varepsilon(G)$  edges and each spanning acyclic subgraph has at most  $\nu(G) - 1$  edges we have  $\Upsilon(G) \geq \varepsilon(G)/(\nu(G) - 1)$ . Moreover, since  $\Upsilon$  is an integer, we have  $\Upsilon(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{\varepsilon(G)}{\nu(G)-1} \right\rceil$ .

This bound is not very accurate if the graph is highly “unbalanced”; for example, consider the graph  $G$  consisting of a  $K_9$  with a very long tail attached—say 100 additional vertices. We have  $\nu(G) = 109$ ,  $\varepsilon(G) = 136$ , and therefore  $\Upsilon(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{136}{108} \right\rceil = 2$ . The actual value of  $\Upsilon(G)$  is larger since we clearly cannot cover the edges of  $K_9$  with two trees; indeed, the arboricity of a graph is at least as large as the arboricity of any of its subgraphs. Thus we have

$$\Upsilon(G) \geq \max \left\lceil \frac{\varepsilon(H)}{\nu(H) - 1} \right\rceil$$

where the maximum is over all subgraphs of  $H$  with at least 2 vertices. Indeed, this improved lower bound gives the correct value.

#### Theorem 5.1.1

$$\Upsilon(G) = \max \left\lceil \frac{\varepsilon(H)}{\nu(H) - 1} \right\rceil$$

where the maximum is over all subgraphs of  $H$  with at least 2 vertices.

The proof of this theorem of Nash-Williams [137, 138] is presented in §5.4 below.