

## Chapter 40

# The greedy algorithm and the independent set polytope

We now pass to algorithmic and polyhedral aspects of matroids. We show that the greedy algorithm characterizes matroids and that it implies a characterization of the independent set polytope (the convex hull of the incidence vectors of the independent sets).

Algorithmic and polyhedral aspects of the *intersection* of two matroids will be studied in Chapter 41.

### 40.1. The greedy algorithm

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a nonempty collection of subsets of a finite set  $S$  closed under taking subsets. For any weight function  $w : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we want to find a set  $I$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  maximizing  $w(I)$ . The *greedy algorithm* consists of setting  $I := \emptyset$ , and next repeatedly choosing  $y \in S \setminus I$  with  $I \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{I}$  and with  $w(y)$  as large as possible. We stop if no such  $y$  exists.

For general collections  $\mathcal{I}$  of this kind this need not lead to an optimum solution. Indeed, matroids are precisely the structures where it always works, as the following theorem shows (Rado [1957] (necessity) and Gale [1968] and Edmonds [1971] (sufficiency)):

**Theorem 40.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a nonempty collection of subsets of a set  $S$ , closed under taking subsets. Then the pair  $(S, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid if and only if for each weight function  $w : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , the greedy algorithm leads to a set  $I$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  of maximum weight  $w(I)$ .*

**Proof.** *Necessity.* Let  $(S, \mathcal{I})$  be a matroid and let  $w : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be any weight function on  $S$ . Call an independent set  $I$  *good* if it is contained in a maximum-weight base. It suffices to show that if  $I$  is good, and  $y$  is an element in  $S \setminus I$  with  $I + y \in \mathcal{I}$  and with  $w(y)$  as large as possible, then  $I + y$  is good.

As  $I$  is good, there exists a maximum-weight base  $B \supseteq I$ . If  $y \in B$ , then  $I + y$  is good again. If  $y \notin B$ , then there exists a base  $B'$  containing  $I + y$  and contained in  $B + y$ . So  $B' = B - z + y$  for some  $z \in B \setminus I$ . As  $w(y)$  is chosen maximum and as  $I + z \in \mathcal{I}$  since  $I + z \subseteq B$ , we know  $w(y) \geq w(z)$ .