

§1 Coding length and the elliptoid method

(1) For $\alpha = p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $\frac{23}{1018}$
a) let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime

$$\text{size}(\alpha) := 1 + \lceil \log_2(|p|+1) \rceil + \lceil \log_2(|q|+1) \rceil$$

Also, for $c = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$:

$$\text{size}(c) := n + \text{size}(x_1) + \dots + \text{size}(x_n)$$

and, for $A = (\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$:

$$\text{size}(A) := mn + \sum_{i,j} \text{size}(\alpha_{ij})$$

Further

$$\text{size}(aX \leq \beta) := 1 + \text{size}(a) + \text{size}(\beta)$$

$$\text{size}(AX \leq b) := 1 + \text{size}(A) + \text{size}(b)$$

b) Prop Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{size}(A) = \sigma$.

Then $\text{size}(\text{det } A) < 2^\sigma$.

Proof. Let $A = (p_{ij}/q_{ij})_{i,j}$ where

$p_{ij}, q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime and $q_{ij} > 0$.

Further, let $\text{det } A = p/q$ with ...

$$\Rightarrow q \leq \prod_{i,j} q_{ij} < 2^{\sigma-1} \quad \text{Leibniz + det / size}$$

$$\text{Also } |\text{det } A| \leq \prod_{i,j} (|p_{ij}| + 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow |p| = |\det A| \cdot q \leq \prod_{i,j} (|p_{ij}| + 1) q_{ij} < 2^{\sigma-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{size}(\det A)$$

$$= 1 + \lceil \log_2(|p|+1) \rceil + \lceil \log_2(|q|+1) \rceil < 2\sigma.$$

c) Cor For $A \in GL_n \mathbb{Q}$ we have □

$$\text{size}(A^{-1}) \in \text{poly}(\text{size}(A))$$

d) Cor Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Q}^m$.

If $Ax = b$ has a solution then there is a solution $x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ with

$$\text{size}(x) \in \text{poly}(\text{size}(Ax = b))$$

Proof. Assume that A has linearly independent rows, and $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with A_1 nonsingular. Then

$$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{-1} b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a solution, and}$$

the claim follows from c). □

e) Cor The decision problem

"Given A and b rational, does $Ax = b$ have a solution?"

has a good characterization.

Proof.

If answer positive then d) provides a certificate of polynomial size.

Suppose $Ax = b$ does not have a solution

\Leftrightarrow $\exists x, y$ with $yA = 0$ and $yb = 1$.

Ex

Again by d) there is $\text{tr}(y)$ of polynomial size. \square

f) Cor Let $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{m \times m}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ such that each row of $[A \ b]$ has size at most φ . If $Ax = b$ has a solution then

$$\{x \mid Ax = b\} = \{x_0 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_t x_t \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

for certain $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t \in \mathbb{Q}^m$ of size at most $4n^2\varphi$.

Proof. By Cramer's rule the coefficients of

x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t can be described as quotients of subdeterminants of $[A \ b]$ of order $\leq n$. By b) these determinants have size $\leq 2n\varphi \Rightarrow$ each coeff of x_i has size $\leq 4n\varphi \Rightarrow \text{size}(x_i) \leq 4n^2\varphi$.

\square

(2) a) Gauss elimination transforms a given matrix A into the standard form

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } B \text{ is non-singular upper triangular}$$

by row operations $a_{i,\cdot} \mapsto a_{i,\cdot} + \lambda a_{j,\cdot}$ and permutation of rows/columns.

Row operations further reduction to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta & D \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } \Delta \text{ diagonal matrix necessary / useful.}$$

b) Thm. (Edmonds 1967)

For A rational Gauss elimination is a polynomial time algorithm.

Proof. W.l.o.g. assume that no permutations of rows or columns are necessary. Polynomially many arithmetic operations suffice, $O(n^3)$ i.e. polynomial in the arithmetic model.

The procedure generates matrices

$$A_0 := A, A_1, A_2, \dots \quad \text{where } D_k = (d_{ij}^k)$$

$$A_k = \begin{bmatrix} B_k & C_k \\ 0 & D_k \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } B_k \text{ non-singular upper triang of order } k$$

then A_{k+1} obtained from A_k by row operation with pivot element $s_{11} \neq 0$ (as we assumed that no sorting necessary)

To show: $\text{size}(A_{dk}) \in \text{poly size}(A)$.

We have

$$s_{ij} = \frac{\det\left((A_k)_{\substack{1, \dots, k, k+i \\ 1, \dots, k, k+i}}\right)}{\det\left(\underbrace{(A_k)_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k}}_{= B_k}\right)}$$

submatrix induced by selection of rows / cols

$$= \frac{\det\left(A_{\substack{1, \dots, k, k+i \\ 1, \dots, k, k+i}}\right)}{\det\left(A_{1, \dots, k}^{1, \dots, k}\right)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{size}(s_{ij}) \leq 4 \text{size}(A)$$

Prop. b)

Since each entry of B_k and C_k have been coefficients of D_j for some $j < k$ the claim follows. \square

c) Cor The following problems are polynomially solvable.

- i) determining the rank of a (rational) matrix
- ii) — " — the rank of a matrix
- iii) — " — the inverse of a matrix [Rem]
- iv) testing vectors for linear independence
- v) solving a system of linear equations.

de Cuijien
1/17/18