## On the proof of Artin's homology criterion

**Lemma 1.** Let  $c : [0,1] \rightarrow G$  and  $\phi : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be continuous with  $\phi(0) = 0, \phi(1) = 1$ . Then

(i) For  $c^{inv}(t) := c(1-t)$ 

$$c^{inv} \underset{G}{\sim} \ominus c.$$

(ii) For  $c^{\phi} := c(\phi(t))$ 

$$c^{\phi} \underset{G}{\sim} c.$$

(iii) For  $\alpha \in ]0,1[$  define  $c_1(t) := c(\alpha t)$  and  $c_2(t)((1-t)\alpha + t))$ . Then

$$c \underset{G}{\sim} c_1 \oplus c_2.$$

(iv) For  $c_1, c_2 : [0, 1] \to G$  with  $c_1(1) = c_2(0)$ 

$$c_1c_2 \underset{G}{\sim} c_1 \oplus c_2.$$

**Lemma 2.** Any 1-chain c in a region G is homologous in G to a 1-chain  $\tilde{c} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j \gamma_j$  with "edge path" curves

$$\gamma_j(t) = (1-t)a_j + tb_j, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$
(1)

where  $\operatorname{Re} a_j = \operatorname{Re} b_j$  or  $\operatorname{Im} a_j = \operatorname{Im} b_j$ . If c is a 1-cycle, so is  $\tilde{c}$ .

**Theorem 3 (Artin's criterion).** A 1-cycle c is homologous to 0 in a region G, if and only if

n(c,a) = 0 for any  $a \notin G$ .

*Proof.* If  $c \underset{G}{\sim} 0$ , then n(c, a) = 0 for all  $a \notin G$  by Cauchy's integral theorem. We prove the converse.

**Step 1.** By the lemmas we may assume that c is a formal linear combination of edge pathes.

We choose a compact rectangle Rthat contains |c| in its interior, and subdivide this rectangle into rectangles  $R_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , by drawing horizontal and vertical lines through each initial- or endpoint of each of the edges in c. The  $R_j$  are considered compact, so adjacent rectangles overlap on their boundaries. We denote by  $R_j$  also the patch  $[0, 1]^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  that maps the unit square in the obvious way onto the rectangle  $R_j$ , and we denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the set of edges of the  $R_j$ , i.e. the sides of the  $R_j : [0, 1]^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ .



Then, again by Lemma 1, we have

$$c \underset{G}{\sim} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{E}} m(\gamma) \gamma$$

To save notation we assume

$$c = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{E}} m(\gamma)\gamma.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

**Step 2.** We choose  $a_j$  in the interior of  $R_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We then define a 2-chain

$$C^* = \sum_{j=1}^n n(c, a_j) R_j.$$

If  $a \in R_j \setminus G$ , then by assumption n(c, a) = 0, and the same is true for all  $\tilde{a}$  in the same connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus |c|$ , in particular for  $\tilde{a} = a_j$ . Therefore  $R_j$  has coefficient 0 in  $C^*$ , and  $C^*$  is a 2-chain in G.

Step 3. We have

$$c^* := \partial C^* = \sum_{j=1}^n n(c, a_j) \partial R_j =: \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{E}} m^*(\gamma) \gamma,$$

and we claim that  $m^*(\gamma) = m(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma$ , see (2). Then

$$\partial C^* = c$$

and we proved  $c \sim 0$  as desired. First we have

$$n(c^*, a_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n n(c, a_j) n(\partial R_j, a_k) = n(c, a_k)$$
(3)

for any  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . The same is true for k = 0, if we choose  $a_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus R$ , which we do.

Assume  $m^*(\gamma_0) - m(\gamma_0) = m_0 \neq 0$  for some  $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then there is a  $j_0$  (in general we have the choice of two) such that  $R_{j_0}$  contains  $\oplus \gamma$  or  $\ominus \gamma$  as one of its sides. Assume the sign is  $\oplus$ , the other case is similar. Put

$$c^{\#} := c^* \ominus c \ominus m_0 \,\partial R_{j_0}$$

This is a 1-cycle, and from (3) we see

$$n(c^{\#}, a_k) = -m_0 \, n(\partial R_{j_0}, a_k) = -m_0 \, \delta_{j_0 k}$$

for  $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ . Moreover  $c^{\#}$  does not contain  $\gamma_0$ .

There are two possible cases:  $\gamma_0$  lies on the boundary of R. Then  $a_{j_0}$  and  $a_0$  lie in the same connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus |c^{\#}|$ , and

$$0 = n(c^{\#}, a_0) = n(c^{\#}, a_{j_0}) = -m_0.$$

Contradiction.

The other possibility is that  $\gamma_0$  is a common side of  $R_{j_0}$  and some  $R_{j_1}$ . Then the same argument holds with  $a_{j_1}$  instead of  $a_0$ , and we get again a contradiction.