

---

## Combinatorics (DS I) - Sheet 7

---

### Exercise 7.1.

Use two different interpretations of the  $q$ -binomials to show the following equations:

(a)

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{(k+1)(n-i)} = \begin{bmatrix} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix},$$

(b)

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ m-k \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Exercise 7.2.

Prove the following about  $q$ -binomials:

(a)

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (x + q^k y) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} y^k x^{n-k},$$

(b)

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n+k}{k} z^k = \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{1 - q^i z}.$$

### Exercise 7.3.

Search the literature for at least three different combinatorial structures which are counted by the Catalan numbers, at least one of which is from a book and not on Wikipedia. Give proofs that they really are counted by the Catalan numbers. You are allowed to present a proof you found in the literature.

### Exercise 7.4.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable set with a weight function  $|\cdot|: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{B})$  be the set of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Show that the generating function  $A$  of  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{B})$  can be expressed as

$$A(x) = \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 + z^n)^{b_n},$$

where  $A(x) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathcal{B})} x^{|a|}$  and  $B(x) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} x^{|b|} = \sum_n b_n x^n$  is the generating function of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Think about the right extension of the weight function to finite subsets for this to make sense.

**Exercise 7.5.**

We consider the binary Pascals-Triangle, which consists of the entries  $\binom{n}{k} \bmod 2$ .

- (a) Draw the triangle up to  $n = 16$  and formulate a conjecture for how it continues.
- (b) Prove your conjecture.
- (c) Research the statement of Lucas' Theorem. Can you give a proof sketch of this theorem using the ideas used to prove (b)?

**Bonus Exercise**

Alice writes all lists of numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  (including 0) such that  $\sum a_i \leq k$  and Bob writes all lists of numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum b_i \leq n$ . Show that they wrote the same number of lists.

Now they write the lists with numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sum |a_i| \leq k$  and  $\sum |b_i| \leq n$ . Did they still write the same number of lists?

## List of hints

- In all statements about  $q$ -binomials, consider their interpretation, for example as grid paths.
- The weight of a subset is the sum of the weights of its elements.
- If  $n$  is a power of two, you get a row of 1's. From there you can find a recursion.
- There is a relation to 1,0 strings with the right number of 0's and 1's.
- Think about the combinatorial proof of the binomial theorem.
- Walk  $i$  steps up, then right, and then the rest.